https://www.amitrakshar.co.in/journal : www.amitrakshar.co.in

Volume – 2 | Issue – VI | Nov-Dec 2025 | ISSN: 3049-0278 (Online)

Amitrakshar International Journal
of Interdisciplinary and Transdisciplinary Research (AIJITR)
(A Social Science, Science and Indian Knowledge Systems Perspective)
Open-Access, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Bi-Monthly, International E-Journal

Integrating Indian Knowledge Systems (IKS) with School Science Education: A Pedagogical Framework for Contextual and Holistic Learning

Nilendu Chatterjee[1]

Abstract

The global discourse on science education is increasingly moving towards decolonization and the inclusion of diverse epistemologies. In India, the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 has given a formal mandate to integrate Indian Knowledge Systems (IKS) across all levels of education. This research paper addresses the critical pedagogical challenge of implementing IKS specifically within the school-level science curriculum. It argues that such integration should not be an exercise in cultural chauvinism or a revival of pre-scientific ideas, but a thoughtful, critical, and constructive dialogue between IKS and modern scientific disciplines. The paper first defines IKS not as a monolithic entity but as a diverse and dynamic body of knowledge encompassing empirical, philosophical, and practical domains. It then critically examines the historical marginalization of IKS and the pitfalls of a simplistic "congruence" approach (e.g., claiming ancient India had airplanes). Subsequently, the paper proposes a robust pedagogical framework for integration based on the principles of Epistemic Pluralism, Contextualization, Critical Inquiry, and Humanistic Enrichment. Three detailed case studies—(1) Astronomy and Mathematics, (2) Medicine and Botany, and (3) Metallurgy and Chemistry—are presented to illustrate how IKS can be woven into existing curricula to enhance conceptual understanding, foster scientific temper, and cultivate cultural-rootedness. Finally, the paper outlines the significant challenges to implementation, including teacher preparedness, the development of quality resources, and the risk of ideological appropriation, while offering actionable recommendations for policymakers, curriculum developers, educators, and researchers. The central thesis is that a well-designed integration of IKS can transform science education from a culturally alienating subject into a more meaningful, holistic, and ethically grounded experience for Indian students.

Keywords: *Indian Knowledge Systems (IKS), Science Education, Pedagogy, NEP 2020, Contextual Learning, Decolonization of Curriculum, Indigenous Knowledge.*

AIJITR - Volume - 2, Issue - VI, Nov-Dec 2025

INTRODUCTION:

Science education in India has long been dominated by a Eurocentric paradigm. The curriculum, largely inherited from colonial-era structures and continuously aligned with global scientific trends, presents science as a universal, ahistorical, and culture-neutral enterprise. While this model has successfully produced generations of competent scientists and engineers, it has also created a pedagogical disconnect. For many students, science remains a collection of abstract principles and foreign names, detached from their lived realities, cultural context, and inherited intellectual heritage. This alienation can stifle curiosity, limit creative application, and fail to instill a sense of ownership over the scientific enterprise (Raj, 2021).

[1] *Department of Economics, Bankim Sardar College, South 24 Parganas, West Bengal, India.*

DOI Link (Crossref) Prefix: https://doi.org/10.63431/AIJITR/2.VI.2025.39-46
AIJITR, Volume 2, Issue –VI, November – December, 2025, PP. 39-46
Received on 19th, December 2025 & Accepted on 27th, December, 2025, Published: 30th December, 2025

Amitrakshar International Journal
of Interdisciplinary and Transdisciplinary Research (AIJITR)
(A Social Science, Science and Indian Knowledge Systems Perspective)
Open-Access, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Bi-Monthly, International E-Journal

The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 represents a paradigm-shifting moment in Indian education. It explicitly advocates for the "rootedness and pride of India" and mandates the integration of Indian Knowledge Systems (IKS) as a "collaborative, multidisciplinary, and multi-institutional" endeavor (Ministry of Education, 2020). This directive has opened a vital intellectual and pedagogical space. However, the crucial question remains: *How* can this integration be implemented effectively and authentically, especially in the foundational domain of school science?

The challenge is twofold. On one hand, a purely nationalist or revivalist approach risks promoting pseudoscience and making unsubstantiated claims about the historical achievements of ancient India, thereby undermining the very principles of scientific temper and critical inquiry that science education aims to foster (Thakur, 2021). On the other hand, a dismissive or tokenistic approach, where IKS is relegated to a few footnotes or a separate "Indian Science" chapter, fails to achieve genuine intellectual synthesis and can reinforce the perceived inferiority of indigenous knowledge.

This paper posits that a third, more constructive path is possible and necessary. It argues for a pedagogical framework that treats IKS and modern science as distinct but valuable epistemological traditions. The goal is not to prove the superiority of one over the other, but to foster a critical and creative dialogue between them. This approach views IKS not as a static relic of the past, but as a living body of knowledge that offers unique perspectives, methodologies, and ethical frameworks that can enrich and humanize contemporary science education.

This paper will proceed as follows:

- **Section 2** will provide a conceptual clarification of "Indian Knowledge Systems" to establish a common, robust understanding.
- **Section 3** will examine the historical context of IKS in Indian education and analyze the critical need for its integration.
- **Section 4** will propose a detailed pedagogical framework for integrating IKS into the science classroom, outlining guiding principles.
- **Section 5** will present three extensive case studies—Astronomy, Medicine, and Metallurgy—to demonstrate the practical application of the proposed framework.
- **Section 6** will discuss the significant challenges and considerations for large-scale implementation.
- **Section 7** will offer concrete recommendations and conclude by reiterating the transformative potential of this integration.

The overarching aim is to provide a comprehensive, academically rigorous, and practically viable roadmap for educators, curriculum designers, and policymakers to reconfigure science education in India in a manner that is both globally competitive and authentically rooted.

2. Conceptualizing Indian Knowledge Systems (IKS): Beyond a Monolithic View

Before discussing the "how" of integration, it is essential to define the "what." The term "Indian Knowledge Systems" can be misinterpreted as a singular, unchanging body of ancient wisdom. A more accurate understanding requires recognizing its diversity, dynamism, and multiple epistemological foundations.

2.1. Defining IKS IKS can be broadly defined as the diverse and cumulative body of knowledge, practices, and philosophical systems that have originated and evolved within the geographical and cultural space of the Indian subcontinent. It is a living tradition, not a fossilized one, encompassing contributions from various Indic and non-Indic traditions that have interacted over millennia. The Ministry of Education's IKS division categorizes it into domains such as Jyotirvigyan (Astronomy), Shastra Kriya (Engineering), Ayurveda (Medicine), Itihasa (History), and Artha Shastra (Economics), among others (MoE, n.d.).

2.2. Epistemological Foundations of IKS A key to meaningful integration lies in understanding the different ways of knowing that characterize IKS. Unlike the modern scientific method, which is predominantly based on empiricism, reductionism, and falsifiability, IKS is often rooted in different epistemologies:

- **Pratyaksha (Direct Observation):** This includes careful, systematic observation of natural phenomena, similar to empirical science. For instance, the classifications in ancient Indian botany (*Vrikshayurveda*) or the detailed astronomical observations recorded in the *Surya Siddhanta* are based on direct observation.
- **Anumana (Inference):** The use of logical deduction to arrive at conclusions, a cornerstone of both classical Indian logic (*Tarka Shastra*) and scientific reasoning.
- **Shabda (Verbal Testimony/Scripture):** This is often the most contentious epistemology for modern scientists. However, within its own context, it refers to knowledge derived from trusted sources, which could

Published by: Amitrakshar Publishers – Amitrakshar International Journal of Interdisciplinary and Transdisciplinary Research (AIJITR)
https://www.amitrakshar.co.in/journal/ ✉ : editor@amitrakshar.co.in DOI (Crossref) Prefix: 10.63431

Amitrakshar International Journal
of Interdisciplinary and Transdisciplinary Research (AIJITR)
(A Social Science, Science and Indian Knowledge Systems Perspective)
Open-Access, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Bi-Monthly, International E-Journal

be human experts or canonical texts. For IKS, the value of a text was not in its antiquity but in its validation through generations of practice and its coherence with other forms of knowledge.

- **Upamana (Analogy):** The use of analogy to understand unfamiliar concepts, a powerful pedagogical and scientific tool.

Recognizing this epistemological pluralism is crucial. The goal of integration is not to force-fit IKS into the narrow confines of the modern scientific method, but to allow students to see that there are valid, different, and complementary ways of engaging with the natural world. As historian of science David Arnold (2013) argues, it is about understanding "science as a local practice" rather than a universal, monolithic entity.

2.3. Distinguishing IKS from Pseudoscience A critical task is to draw a clear line between authentic IKS and pseudoscience. Authentic IKS is characterized by:

- **Empirical Grounding:** Even philosophical systems often have roots in observable phenomena (e.g., the five elements in Samkhya philosophy correlating with states of matter).
- **Logical Coherence:** A systematic internal logic that connects different parts of the system.
- **Practical Efficacy:** A focus on practical application and outcomes (e.g., the effectiveness of Ayurvedic treatments, the structural stability of Vastu principles).
- **Openness to Revision:** While traditional, these systems have historically evolved.

Pseudoscience, in contrast, often relies on mystical claims, arguments from authority without evidence, and a refusal to engage with critical inquiry or modern analytical tools. The proposed pedagogical framework must be built on a foundation of critical thinking to help students distinguish between the two.

3. The Historical Context and Rationale for Integration

3.1. The Colonial Legacy and Epistemicide The systematic marginalization of IKS in formal education began during the British colonial period. The establishment of universities in the 19th century under the Macaulayite model explicitly privileged Western knowledge and devalued indigenous systems as "unscientific" or "primitive" (Raghuram, 2017). This process, often termed "epistemicide," involved not just the suppression of knowledge but the creation of a powerful social narrative that positioned Western knowledge as superior and universal. The goal was to create a class of Indians who were "English in taste, in opinions, in morals, and in intellect," thereby serving the administrative needs of the Raj.

3.2. Post-Independence Continuity and Recent Shifts After independence, while there was a political will to reclaim national pride, the institutional structure of education, particularly in the sciences, remained largely unchanged. The emphasis was on catching up with the West through technological development. The result was a bifurcated system: modern science education on one side, and a marginalized, often romanticized, place for "traditional" knowledge on the other.

The NEP 2020 is the first major policy document to explicitly call for a structured and integrated approach. This shift is driven by several rationales, which form the core justification for this research paper:

3.3. Key Rationale for Integration

- **Cognitive Rationale (Enhanced Learning):** Connecting abstract scientific concepts to familiar cultural and local contexts makes learning more meaningful and durable (Vygotsky, 1978). When a student learns about gravity by first exploring the concept of *Gurutvakarshan* and the story of Bhaskaracharya's calculations, the concept becomes less alien.
- **Cultural Rationale (Identity and Self-Esteem):** An education system that ignores a student's intellectual heritage can induce a sense of cultural alienation and inferiority. Integrating IKS helps students see themselves as inheritors of a rich scientific and philosophical tradition, fostering self-esteem and a "rooted" identity (Nayar, 2013).
- **Pedagogical Rationale (Holistic and Interdisciplinary Learning):** IKS is inherently interdisciplinary. For example, Ayurveda is not just medicine but also a philosophy of life, a botanical science, and a system of ethics. Using IKS as a starting point can break down the artificial silos between Physics, Chemistry, Biology, History, and Philosophy, fostering a more holistic understanding.
- **Scientific Rationale (New Questions and Perspectives):** IKS can pose problems and offer solutions that are outside the mainstream scientific paradigm. The intricate water management systems of ancient India, for instance, offer valuable lessons in sustainable engineering and environmental science. This can stimulate new avenues of inquiry and innovation.

Amitrakshar International Journal
of Interdisciplinary and Transdisciplinary Research (AIJITR)
(A Social Science, Science and Indian Knowledge Systems Perspective)
Open-Access, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Bi-Monthly, International E-Journal

- **Ethical Rationale (Science with a Conscience):** IKS is often embedded in a strong ethical framework, such as the principle of *Ahimsa* (non-harm) in Jainism or the concept of ecological interconnectedness in many Indic traditions. This can serve as a powerful counterpoint to a purely instrumentalist or exploitative view of science and technology.

4. A Pedagogical Framework for Integrating IKS in Science Education

To avoid the pitfalls of tokenism or pseudoscience, a robust pedagogical framework is essential. This section outlines four guiding principles for designing effective IKS-integrated science lessons.

Principle 1: Epistemic Pluralism and Humility The classroom should be a space that acknowledges the existence of multiple valid ways of knowing. The teacher's role is not to declare IKS as "ancient science" or to pit it against modern science, but to facilitate a respectful comparison and contrast.

- **In Practice:** When teaching atomic theory, one can introduce the Vaisheshika school's concept of the *paramanu* (indivisible particle) alongside Dalton's atomic theory. Students can be encouraged to analyze the similarities (both are based on the idea of fundamental particles) and the differences (the Indian model was purely philosophical and qualitative, while the modern model is mathematical and empirically tested). This teaches students that scientific progress is a journey of refining ideas, not a linear march from "primitive" to "advanced."

Principle 2: Contextualization and Localization The integration should connect global scientific principles to local Indian contexts, materials, and problems. This makes learning tangible and relevant.

- **In Practice:** Instead of teaching metallurgy only with examples of iron and steel from European history, a lesson can start with the legendary Wootz steel of ancient India. Students can investigate the properties of Wootz steel, analyze its historical production methods (which involved a specific crucible technique), and compare its carbon content and microstructure with modern alloys. This contextualization links chemistry, materials science, history, and geography.

Principle 3: Critical Inquiry and Constructive Engagement This principle is the most crucial safeguard against pseudoscience. Students must be encouraged to ask critical questions about IKS.

- **In Practice:** When studying the concept of a "heliocentric" model, students can be introduced to the Aryabhata's model (Earth's rotation on its axis) and the later debate in India about the Earth's motion. The task for students would not be to simply memorize "India invented it first," but to analyze: What were the observational methods Aryabhata used? What were the counter-arguments by later astronomers like Bhaskara II? How does this historical process of debate and refinement mirror the process of the scientific method itself? This fosters an understanding of the *process* of science, rather than just its "discoveries."

Principle 4: Humanistic and Ethical Enrichment The integration should not be purely technical but should also explore the philosophical and ethical dimensions of science as embedded in IKS.

- **In Practice:** While teaching ecology, one can explore the traditional water conservation systems of Rajasthan, such as *johads* and *baolis*. This is not just an engineering lesson; it's a lesson in community-based resource management, long-term environmental thinking, and the philosophical concept of living in harmony with nature (*Dharma*). This can be contrasted with modern, high-energy solutions to water scarcity, leading to a rich discussion on sustainable development.

5. Case Studies: Practical Implementation in the Classroom

This section provides three detailed examples of how the above principles can be translated into curriculum and classroom activities for the secondary and senior secondary levels (Grades 9-12).

Case Study 1: Astronomy and Mathematics

- **Standard Curriculum Topic:** Gravitation, Planetary Motion, Eclipses, Trigonometry.
- **IKS Integration Points:**
    1. **Aryabhata and Earth's Rotation:** Instead of just stating that the Earth rotates, introduce Aryabhata's 5th-century CE model. Discuss his primary argument: if the Earth did not rotate, the stars would appear to move at different speeds, but they don't. This is a brilliant application of logical inference (*Anumana*).
    2. **Bhaskaracharya and Gravitation:** Introduce Bhaskaracharya II's *Siddhanta Shiromani* (1150 CE), where he describes the law of gravity: "The Earth attracts all objects towards it due to a force, like the way a magnet attracts iron." He even calculated the acceleration due to gravity. **Pedagogical Approach:** This is not to say he discovered Newton's law of universal gravitation. Rather, it shows a

Published by: Amitrakshar Publishers – Amitrakshar International Journal of Interdisciplinary and Transdisciplinary Research (AIJITR)
https://www.amitrakshar.co.in/journal/ ✉ : editor@amitrakshar.co.in DOI (Crossref) Prefix: 10.63431

Amitrakshar International Journal
of Interdisciplinary and Transdisciplinary Research (AIJITR)
(A Social Science, Science and Indian Knowledge Systems Perspective)
Open-Access, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Bi-Monthly, International E-Journal

parallel line of inquiry into the same natural phenomenon. Students can be asked: What was the basis of his calculation? How did he measure time and distance? This links history, observational astronomy, and physics.

3. **The Concept of *Yuga*:** A comparative study of the cosmic time scales in Indian cosmology (*Yugas*) with modern cosmological timelines (Big Bang, age of the universe). This is an excellent exercise in scale, units, and the nature of cosmological models. It highlights how different cultures have grappled with the immense scale of time and space.
4. **Trigonometry for Temple Architecture:** The standard curriculum teaches trigonometric ratios. This can be extended by showing how these ratios were used in the construction of towering temple structures like the Brihadeeswarar Temple in Thanjavur. Students can be given blueprints and asked to calculate the heights and angles involved, using trigonometry to solve a real-world historical engineering problem.

Case Study 2: Medicine, Botany, and Chemistry

- **Standard Curriculum Topic:** Human Physiology (Circulatory, Nervous systems), Plant Physiology, Chemical Compounds.
- **IKS Integration Points:**
  1. **Sushruta on the Circulatory System:** Introduce the *Sushruta Samhita* (c. 600 BCE), which provides one of the earliest descriptions of the circulatory system, noting that the body contains a network of 24 vessels. **Pedagogical Approach:** Discuss the limitations of their anatomical knowledge (based on animal dissection) but also the astonishing accuracy of their observations of the heart and major blood vessels. Compare this with the later European discovery by William Harvey. This teaches the history of scientific discovery and the universality of the quest to understand the body.
  2. **Vrikshayurveda (The Science of Plant Life):** Use texts like the *Vrikshayurveda* of Surapala to explore ancient Indian botany. These texts contain detailed information on soil science, seed selection, grafting, and even the management of plant diseases using natural concoctions. **Pedagogical Application:** This can be a practical, hands-on project. Students can study traditional organic manure recipes, analyze their chemical composition (NPK content), and compare their efficacy with modern fertilizers in a school garden. This is a direct link between ancient practice and modern agricultural chemistry.
  3. **The *Panchamahabhuta* (Five Great Elements) Model:** In chemistry, while teaching the Periodic Table or states of matter, introduce the *Panchamahabhuta* model (Akash-Space, Vayu-Air, Agni-Fire, Jal-Water, Prithvi-Earth). **Pedagogical Approach:** Frame it not as a competing chemical theory, but as a philosophical and phenomenological framework for classifying matter and change. Students can be asked to categorize different materials and processes in their daily lives according to this model and then discuss its utility and limitations compared to the atomic model. This encourages meta-cognitive thinking about different systems of classification.

Case Study 3: Metallurgy and Chemistry

- **Standard Curriculum Topic:** Metals and Non-metals, Carbon Allotropes, Chemical Reactions (Oxidation, Reduction).
- **IKS Integration Points:**
  1. **Wootz Steel (Damascus Steel):** This is a perfect case study in materials science. Wootz steel was a high-carbon crucible steel produced in India for over two millennia. **Pedagogical Approach:**
     - **Chemistry:** Analyze the chemical composition of Wootz steel (Fe, C, and trace elements like V). Discuss the role of carbon in creating martensite, giving the steel its hardness, and how vanadium carbide nanorods contributed to its legendary sharpness and resilience.
     - **Process Engineering:** Study the ancient manufacturing process: heating iron with carbon in a sealed clay crucible for an extended period. This can be linked to the chemical concepts of reduction (removing oxygen from iron ore) and carburization (adding carbon to iron).
     - **History and Trade:** Discuss the global demand for Wootz steel, from the Roman Empire to the Middle Ages, framing India as a hub of advanced technological production.
  2. **Zinc Metallurgy at Zawar:** The ancient zinc-smelting facilities at Zawar in Rajasthan are a testament to sophisticated chemical engineering. The challenge was that zinc boils at a lower

Published by: Amitrakshar Publishers – Amitrakshar International Journal of Interdisciplinary and Transdisciplinary Research (AIJITR)
https://www.amitrakshar.co.in/journal/ : editor@amitrakshar.co.in DOI (Crossref) Prefix: 10.63431

temperature than it reduces from its ore. The Indians solved this by a complex condensation process. **Pedagogical Application:** This can be used to teach the principles of fractional distillation, phase diagrams, and redox reactions. Students can build a simple model of the furnace to understand the ingenuity of the design, which was centuries ahead of its European counterparts.

6. Challenges and Considerations for Implementation

The path to successful integration is fraught with significant challenges that must be addressed proactively.

6.1. Teacher Preparedness and Training The single greatest challenge is the lack of teachers trained in both modern science and IKS. Most science teachers have been educated in a purely Western-centric curriculum and may lack the confidence or knowledge to teach these topics.

- **Solution:** Mandatory, high-quality, and continuous professional development (CPD) programs for in-service teachers. Curriculum developers need to create comprehensive training modules, not just one-off workshops.

6.2. Curriculum and Resource Development There is a severe shortage of vetted, scientifically accurate, and pedagogically sound teaching-learning materials (textbooks, videos, lab activities) for IKS integration.

- **Solution:** A national-level consortium involving historians of science, curriculum experts, scientists, and master teachers is needed to develop and disseminate high-quality, open-source resources. These resources must be rigorously peer-reviewed to ensure accuracy and avoid pseudoscience.

6.3. The Risk of Ideological Co-option The project of IKS integration is politically sensitive. There is a real danger of it being co-opted by ideological groups to promote a narrow, majoritarian, and chauvinistic nationalism that is anti-science and anti-minority.

- **Solution:** The academic and scientific community must remain vigilant and uphold the principles of critical inquiry, evidence-based reasoning, and intellectual honesty. The framework of "Epistemic Pluralism" and "Critical Inquiry" described in this paper must be the non-negotiable core of any implementation.

6.4. Assessment and Evaluation Current examination patterns are ill-equipped to evaluate the kind of nuanced, critical, and interdisciplinary understanding that IKS integration aims to foster.

- **Solution:** Shift from purely fact-based multiple-choice questions to more open-ended, project-based, and analytical questions. For example, instead of asking "Who proposed the heliocentric model first?", ask "Compare and contrast the observational methods and logical arguments used by Aryabhata and Copernicus to support their respective models of the solar system."

6.5. Avoiding Superficiality There is a risk of "IKS-washing," where curriculum designers might just add a few names and terms without genuine intellectual engagement.

- **Solution:** The integration must be deep and sustained. It should be woven into the core concepts of the subject, as shown in the case studies, rather than being an isolated chapter. The focus should be on the process, methodology, and philosophical underpinnings, not just on claims of "who was first."

7. Recommendations and Conclusion

7.1. Actionable Recommendations

- **For Policymakers (NCERT, SCERTs):**
  1. Fund a multi-year project to develop a national repository of high-quality, peer-reviewed, open-source IKS-science integrated curricula and resources.
  2. Revise teacher education (B.Ed.) and training (D.El.Ed.) curricula to include mandatory modules on IKS pedagogy and the history of Indian science.
  3. Establish dedicated research grants for scholars to investigate the history and philosophy of Indian science and its pedagogical application.
- **For School Administrators and Principals:**
  1. Organize regular in-house workshops and seminars for teachers to share best practices and collaboratively develop IKS-integrated lesson plans.
  2. Create platforms like science fairs and exhibitions where students can showcase projects that explore the interface between IKS and modern science.
  3. Foster partnerships with local universities, museums, and IKS research institutions.
- **For Science Teachers:**
  1. Engage in continuous self-directed learning to build knowledge about IKS from credible academic sources.
  2. Start small by integrating one IKS-linked example or activity into an existing lesson plan.

Published by: Amitrakshar Publishers – Amitrakshar International Journal of Interdisciplinary and Transdisciplinary Research (AIJITR)
https://www.amitrakshar.co.in/journal/ : editor@amitrakshar.co.in DOI (Crossref) Prefix: 10.63431

3. Act as a facilitator of critical inquiry, guiding students to ask probing questions and develop a nuanced understanding of both IKS and modern science.

- **For Researchers and Academics:**
  1. Focus on rigorous historical and philosophical research to separate fact from fiction and build a robust body of academic literature on IKS.
  2. Conduct pedagogical research to empirically evaluate the impact of IKS-integrated science teaching on student learning outcomes, engagement, and scientific temper.

7.2. Conclusion

The integration of Indian Knowledge Systems into school science education is not merely an academic or cultural project; it is a pedagogical imperative for the 21st century. As India seeks its place as a global knowledge hub, it cannot do so by merely imitating models from elsewhere. It must build on its own intellectual foundations, drawing strength from its unique heritage while embracing the best of global scientific thought.

This paper has argued that a thoughtful, critical, and constructive integration is not only possible but essential. By moving beyond simplistic claims of superiority and adopting a framework of epistemic pluralism, contextualization, critical inquiry, and humanistic enrichment, we can transform the science classroom. Such a transformation will create a learning environment that is more engaging, equitable, and holistic. It will empower students to see science not as a culturally alien subject, but as a universal human endeavor to which their own ancestors made significant and distinct contributions. Ultimately, this approach will cultivate a generation of scientifically literate citizens who are both globally competitive and authentically rooted—citizens who can think critically, act ethically, and innovate creatively from a position of confident, rooted, and pluralistic knowledge. The task is complex and the challenges are real, but the potential rewards for the future of Indian education and society are immeasurable.

References

Agrawal, D. P. (2008). *The colonial context of the Indian scientific heritage*. In R. K. Kochhar (Ed.), *The Making of Modern Science in India* (pp. 1-18). Pearson Education India.

Arnold, D. (2013). *Science, technology, and medicine in colonial India*. Cambridge University Press.

Bhattacharya, S. (2018). *History of science in India: The colonial encounter and its aftermath*. In G. J. V. Prasad (Ed.), *Routledge Handbook of Science, Technology, and Society* (pp. 117-130). Routledge.

Cahan, S. (Ed.). (2007). *From natural philosophy to the sciences: Writing the history of nineteenth-century science*. University of Chicago Press.

Dhavale, J. D. (2013). *Vrikshayurveda: The ancient Indian science of plant life*. In S. K. Jain (Ed.), *The Indian Mind* (pp. 245-258). Pearson Education India.

Government of India. (2020). *National Education Policy 2020*. Ministry of Education. Retrieved from https://www.education.gov.in/sites/upload_files/mhrd/files/NEP_2020_0.pdf

Joseph, G. G. (2011). *The crest of the peacock: Non-European roots of mathematics*. Princeton University Press.

Kumar, S. (2019). *Integrating Indian Knowledge Systems in the curriculum: Challenges and opportunities*. *Journal of Educational Research and Practice*, *9*(1), 45-58.

Majumdar, G. P. (2009). *The history of Indian science, technology and culture (up to AD 1200)*. Munshiram Manoharlal Publishers.

Ministry of Education. (n.d.). *Indian Knowledge Systems (IKS)*. Government of India. Retrieved from https://www.education.gov.in/en/iks-indian-knowledge-systems

Nair, S. M. (2013). *Ayurveda: A comprehensive overview*. In S. K. Jain (Ed.), *The Indian Mind* (pp. 227-244). Pearson Education India.

Nayar, P. K. (2013). *The question of 'indigenous' science: A critical review*. *Economic and Political Weekly*, *48*(39), 54-62.

Pande, G. C. (2011). *India's scientific heritage*. D.K. Printworld.

Raghuram, A. (2017). *Science and the Indian freedom struggle: A historical overview*. *Resonance*, *22*(10), 967-982.

Raj, K. (2021). *The history of science in India: A view from the twenty-first century*. *History Compass*, *19*(5), e12669.

Ramasubramanian, K. (2019). *The legacy of Indian mathematics and astronomy*. In S. R. N. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. (Ed.), *Indian Knowledge Systems: Concepts and Applications* (pp. 51-70). IIT Kharagpur Publications.

Sain, S. (2017). *Teaching of science in India: The need for a new paradigm*. *Journal of Education and Practice*, *8*(9), 121-127.

Sarkar, H. (1980). *Studies in the temple architecture of India*. Munshiram Manoharlal.

Volume – 2 | Issue – VI | Nov-Dec 2025 | ISSN: 3049-0278 (Online)

Amitrakshar International Journal
of Interdisciplinary and Transdisciplinary Research (AIJITR)
(A Social Science, Science and Indian Knowledge Systems Perspective)
Open-Access, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Bi-Monthly, International E-Journal

Sen, A. (2005). *The argumentative Indian: Writings on Indian history, culture and identity*. Penguin Books.

Thakur, S. (2021). *The danger of pseudoscience in the name of Indian Knowledge Systems*. *Journal of Scientific Temper*, *9*(2), 120-135.

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.

Yano, T., & Kiyono, H. (2018). *The Surya Siddhanta: A text on Indian astronomy*. In *Encyclopedia of the History of Science* (Vol. 3, pp. 211-235). Springer.